

History/Social Science Inquiry Poster Display Evaluation

Name: _____

Advisor: _____

Title: _____

Location: _____

Description	Excellent		Deficient	Points
Overall quality and artistic appeal	4	2	0	
	Poster is neat, organized, and appealing with large font size. All elements are present; no spelling errors are present	Poster is neat, but not well organized. One or more elements are missing; spelling errors are present.	Poster was carelessly constructed. Many elements are missing; spelling errors are present.	
Comments:				
Identification	2	1	0	
	Banner title clearly lists title, presenters, and advisors, is prominently located, and uses large font.	Identification is complete, but difficult to locate; font size needs to be larger and/or title needs to be relocated.	One or more elements are missing.	
Comments:				
Abstract	2	1	0	
	Abstract is clearly displayed, with large font; no grammatical errors are present.	Abstract is present, but needs to be reformatted for poster and/or relocated	Abstract is missing.	
Comments:				
Introduction	4	2	0	
	Introduction clearly and concisely describes the project rationale and relation to others' work. Information is accurate.	Introduction is present, but fails to relate well to the project and/or more information is needed. Information is incomplete.	Introduction is very sketchy and incomplete or missing	
Comments:				
Thesis Statement	4	2	0	
	Thesis statement is present and clearly relates to introduction and data gathered.	Thesis statement is unclear and/or does not relate well to the introduction and/or data.	Thesis statement is not present.	
Comments:				
Illustrations –	4	2	0	

Maps, Timelines, Charts	Illustrations are present, displayed well, and appropriate to the investigation.	Illustrations are present, but are incomplete, poorly constructed, or could be chosen to better support the work of the investigation.	Illustrations supporting the investigation are not present.	
Comments:				
Major Data Points	4	2	0	
	All major data points supporting the investigation are present, clearly stated, and support the conclusions.	Major data points are incomplete and/or do not fully support the conclusions of the investigation.	Major data points supporting the investigation are absent.	
Comments:				
Discussion/ Conclusions	4	2	0	
	The work is thoroughly discussed in the context of others' work and inconsistencies are fully noted and discussed; unique contribution of the work is discussed.	The work is not fully considered in the larger context and/or the uniqueness of the investigation is unclear.	The work is not discussed in the larger context of others' work. How the work contributes to the field is not apparent.	
Comments:				
Bibliography/ References	4	2	0	
	Reference list is complete, citations are complete, and appropriate format is used.	Several key sources are missing. Citation format is	Reference list is missing or incomplete, citations are incomplete and/or not appropriate.	
Comments:				
Acknowledgements	2	1	0	
	Acknowledgements are complete and appropriate.	Acknowledgements are unclear.	Acknowledgements are not present.	
Comments:				

Other comments: