

## Science Inquiry Poster Evaluation

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Advisor: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Description	Excellent		Deficient	Points
<b>Overall quality and artistic appeal</b>	4	2	0	
	Poster is neat, organized, and appealing with large font size. All elements are present; no spelling errors are present	Poster is neat, but not well organized. One or more elements are missing; spelling errors are present.	Poster was carelessly constructed. Many elements are missing; spelling errors are present.	
Comments:				
<b>Identification</b>	2	1	0	
	Banner title clearly lists title, presenters, and advisors, is prominently located, and uses large font.	Identification is complete, but difficult to locate; font size needs to be larger and/or title needs to be relocated.	One or more elements are missing.	
Comments:				
<b>Abstract</b>	2	1	0	
	Abstract is clearly displayed, with large font; no grammatical errors are present.	Abstract is present, but needs to be reformatted for poster and/or relocated	Abstract is missing.	
Comments:				
<b>Introduction</b>	4	2	0	
	Introduction clearly and concisely describes the project rationale.	Introduction is present, but fails to relate well to the project and/or more information is needed.	Introduction is very sketchy and incomplete or missing	
Comments:				
<b>Methods</b>	4	2	0	
	All methods are clearly and concisely stated.	Some methods are missing and/or methodology is unclear.	Methods are missing or grossly incomplete.	
Comments:				
<b>Data and/or</b>	4	2	0	

<b>Results</b>	Data is appropriately displayed in graphs and/or charts. Figures are clearly labeled and legends provided.	Data could be displayed more effectively. Figure labels and legends need improvement.	Some or all data is missing. Little or no labeling. Figure legends are needed.	
Comments:				
<b>Discussion</b>	4	2	0	
	Data is clearly interpreted and explained. Data is thoroughly discussed in the context of others' work and inconsistencies are fully noted and discussed.	Data interpretation needs clarification. The work is not fully considered in the larger context.	Little interpretation of data is given and/or it is incorrect. The work is not discussed in the larger context of others' work.	
Comments:				
<b>Conclusions</b>	4	2	0	
	Conclusion is consistent with data and/or observations. Conclusion is based on data/information collected.	Conclusion is present but inconsistent with data.	No conclusion or no valid conclusion is present.	
Comments:				
<b>Bibliography/ References</b>	4	2	0	
	Reference list is complete, citations are complete, and appropriate format is used.	Several key sources are missing. Citation format is	Reference list is missing or incomplete, citations are incomplete and/or not appropriate.	
Comments:				
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	2	1	0	
	Acknowledgements are complete and appropriate.	Acknowledgements are unclear.	Acknowledgements are not present.	
Comments:				

Other comments: